G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references

File No 2-A-1

1921-22

Serial Nos

(Misc-)

Later references.
Proc 18-23-1-41
'32 -2-

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-2--

Part B for September 21

SUBJECT.

Approving of the proposal of the Municipal Engineer to the grant of Rs 2/- to Seetharama Rao to defend the Lingayya matter against the suit filed by one Siddappa & Gadigappa of Lingayya in the Munsiff Court at Bangalore & certain Proc. said Rs 2/- to

To Municipal Commissioner

Lr No. 1770-1 mun 20-21 2-[illegible] 28.9.21

GPB—S00—14-7-15

OFFICE OF THE
MUZRAI SUPERINTENDENT
IN MYSORE.

NOTE.

SUBJECT. . [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

and a sum of Rs. 7,041-0-11 was paid to the contractors leaving a balance of Rs. 102-12-10 Put against this there is an unstamped receipt (in the hand-writing of Siddappa) to the effect that in connection with his visit to Bangalore on 13th April 1916 he has taken a sum of Rs. 500/- from the Matha Parpatheyar and that the amount would be returned within 15 days and the receipt taken back. The receipt is still found in the records of the Matha without any acknowledgment of the amount having been paid back. It has therefore to be presumed that the amount has not been returned yet. If this be so, some amount will be due to the Matha itself and the claim of the contractors would be untenable. As the suit has been filed against the Venkatesha Sastry in his capacity as agent of the Matha, I solicit sanction to defend him at the cost of the Matha. As the case is not a complicated one, the services of the Government Advocate do not seem to be required. The agent has engaged the services of Mr. A.V. Seetarama Rao, Pleader, as Vakil, may be approved. The Vakil will be paid the regulation fees and a bill of actual cost incurred in defending the suit will be submitted in due course.

Rural Inspector.

VRB

G.R.S. VI–71]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *191*

Date of issue.....

Signed by. .....

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated

v placed at the disposal of ~~the~~ Press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Section

File No. 200-21

Serial No. 2

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read—

Note dt 23-9-1921 from the Asst Supt in Mysore Reporting that Siddappa and Yediyurappa of Sivaganga have filed a suit against the Agent of Sri Sivaganga Math in the ~~Court~~ Munsiff's Court, Bangalore, for the recovery of a sum of Rs 480/ with costs

Order ~~Thereon~~ No.

on account of the balance due to them for processions supplied to the Math, that the Case ~~Stand~~ adjourned to the ~~1st~~ instant, that the Assis-

engage the services of
Mr. V. V. Seetharama Rao,
Pleader, to defend the said
Suit.

Order passed. [illegible]

Approved.

(2) A bill of actual
Cost incurred in defending
the Suit, may be submitted
in due course.

[illegible]
Dist. Govt. Secretary

By Order,
[illegible]
Asst. Secretary

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by